10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
Northern District of California	

San Francisco

DANIEL SPALDING, et al.,

v.

No. C 11-02867 TEH (LB)

Plaintiffs,

ORDER RE FURTHER SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.,

Defendants.

The court held a further settlement conference on July 3, 2012 and orders the following.

As discussed, the parties will ask the district court to continue the case management conference until mid-September 2012. The parties will stay further discovery and any litigation until after the next settlement conference.

The parties shall meet and confer as discussed and thereafter contact courtroom deputy Lashanda Scott on or before July 16, 2012 to set a further settlement conference at their earliest convenience (and as the court's schedule allows). The defendants shall meet and confer separately on the matters discussed and shall update the court by July 16, 2012 with their plan for moving forward. That update may be informal by email to the court's orders box at lbpo@cand.uscourts.gov, or the parties may arrange a separate or joint telephone call through Ms. Scott.

Also, as discussed, the court's intent is that before the next settlement conference, the defendants (either collectively or individually) shall comply with paragraph D(1)(c) of the court's settlement conference order. See 12/14/11 Order, ECF No. 28, at 4. The defendants also shall ensure that

ORDER C 11-02867 TEH (LB)

Case3:11-cv-02867-TEH Document67 Filed07/03/12 Page2 of 2

or the Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

accommodate the schedules of those policymakers by conducting the settlement conference in Oakland (likely in the lawyers' lounge) and by setting a time certain for their participation. The defendants shall include this information in their updated settlement statement to the court. The parties' updated settlement conference statements shall be lodged with the court at least two business days before the next settlement conference. They should contain only new information (such as the defendants' compliance with paragraph D(1)(c) and who will be attending the conference) and may be emailed to the court's orders box.

persons with policy making authority are at the next settlement conference. The court is willing to

If any of these time frames are too ambitious given the scheduling issues discussed, the parties are free to modify them by agreement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 3, 2012

LAUREL BEELER

United States Magistrate Judge

ORDER C 11-02867 TEH (LB)